arXiv:1610.09803v1 [math.QA] 31 Oct 2016

A QUANTUM ANALOGUE OF RANK 2 GENERALIZED CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

XUEQING CHEN, MING DING AND FAN XU

Abstract. We define a quantum analogue of rank two generalized cluster algebras, and extend some structural results from the classical theory of rank two generalized cluster algebras obtained in [3][10] to the quantum case.

1. Background

Fomin and Zelevinsky invented the concept of cluster algebras [4][5] in order to study total positivity in algebraic groups and canonical bases in quantum groups. As a natural generalization, Chekhov and Shapiro introduced the generalized cluster algebras in [3]. The main difference between cluster algebras and generalized cluster algebras is that the binomial exchange relation for cluster variables of cluster algebras is replaced by the polynomial with arbitrary positive degree for those cluster variables of generalized cluster algebras. In [3], it was shown that the generalized cluster algebras have the Laurent phenomenon, which is regarded as the most important feature of the classical cluster algebras. Some other important properties of cluster algebras are also shown to hold in the generalized cluster algebras [3][9][10].

In order to study the canonical basis in an algebraic framework, Berenstein and Zelevinsky [2] introduced quantum cluster algebras as a noncommutative analogue of cluster algebras in which the cluster variables are quantized. The other quantum deformation of cluster algebras is the one quantizing the coefficients which is given by Fock and Goncharov [6][7]. Recently, Nakanishi extends the notion of the quantization of the coefficients of the classical cluster algebras to the generalized cluster algebras, and shows that it is tightly integrated with the quantum dilogarithms of higher degrees [8]. Motivated by these, a natural problem is that what is the notion of the quantization of the cluster variables in generalized cluster algebras, and do the essentially important properties of (quantum) cluster algebras still hold true in these cases?

The aim of this note is to propose the notion of a quantum analogue of rank two generalized cluster algebras, and mainly prove the Laurent phenomenon in this

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16G20, 17B67; Secondary 17B35, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. generalized cluster algebra, quantum generalized cluster algebra, Laurent phenomenon, standard monomial.

Ming Ding was supported by NSF of China (No. 11301282) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130031120004) and Fan Xu was supported by NSF of China (No. 11071133).

special case. The method is to use the tools developed in [2][10]. However, we do not know whether the positivity property holds. Note that Usnich proved the Laurent phenomenon in the noncommutative analogue for two variables only with a monic, palindromic polynomials $P_1 = P_2$ [11].

2. Quantum Laurent phenomenon

Let q be a formal variable and $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}] \subset \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ denote the ring of integer Laurent polynomial in the variable $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Consider the following based quantum torus (see [2] for more details)

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1} | X_1X_2 = qX_2X_1].$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the skew-field of fractions of the based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$.

Let $P_1(x), P_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][x]$ be the polynomials of arbitrary positive degree d_1 and d_2, respectively, having the following form

$$P(x) = 1 + q^{\frac{1}{2}}h_1(q^{\frac{1}{2}})x + qh_2(q^{\frac{1}{2}})x^2 + \cdots + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}}h_{d-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})x^{d-1} + q^{\frac{d}{2}}x^d$$

where $h_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ satisfies that $h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = h_{d-i}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for any $1 \leq i \leq d$. Sometimes, we also make use of the notations $h_0(x) = h_d(x) = 1$ with no confusions.

We inductively define $X_k \in \mathcal{F}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ from the following exchange relations

$$X_{k-1}X_{k+1} = \begin{cases} P_1(X_k) & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ P_2(X_k) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1. The quantum generalized cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$ is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by the set $\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, X_k is called the quantum generalized cluster variables.

Remark 2.2. When $q = 1$, it is the generalized cluster algebra of rank two which is studied in [10].

One can easily check the following lemma by induction.

Lemma 2.3. *$X_kX_{k+1} = qX_{k+1}X_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

The following lemma is useful for us to prove the quantum Laurent phenomenon.

Lemma 2.4. *(1) When $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is even, then*

$$X_{k+1}X_{k-1} = 1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_1(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k + q^{-1}h_2(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^2 + \cdots + q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}h_{d_1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^{d_1}.$$

(2) When $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd, then

$$X_{k+1}X_{k-1} = 1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h'_1(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k + q^{-1}h'_2(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^2 + \cdots + q^{-\frac{d_2}{2}}h'_{d_2}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^{d_2};$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that k is odd. According to the exchange relation and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_{k+1} &= X_{k-1}^{-1}P_2(X_k)\\ &= X_{k-1}^{-1} + q^{\frac{1}{2}}h_1'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k-1}^{-1}X_k + q^1 h_2'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k-1}^{-1}X_k^2 + \cdots + q^{\frac{d_2}{2}}h_{d_2}'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k-1}^{-1}X_k^{d_2}\\ &= X_{k-1}^{-1} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_1'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_kX_{k-1}^{-1} + q^{-1}h_2'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^2X_{k-1}^{-1} + \cdots + q^{-\frac{d_2}{2}}h_{d_2}'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^{d_2}X_{k-1}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$X_{k+1}X_{k-1} = 1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_1'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k + q^{-1}h_2'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^2 + \cdots + q^{-\frac{d_2}{2}}h_{d_2}'(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_k^{d_2}.$$

□

It will be convenient to introduce the following notations:

$$X_{k+1}X_{k-1} = \begin{cases}\widehat{P_1(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;}\\ \widehat{P_2(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.}\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $\widehat{P_1(X_k)}$ and $\widehat{P_2(X_k)}$ have the explicit expressions respectively obtained in Lemma 2.4.

Now we are ready to prove the quantum Laurent phenomenon of rank two quantum generalized cluster algebra by using the method developed in [10].

Theorem 2.5. *(Quantum Laurent phenomenon) The quantum generalized cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}]$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Firstly for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we prove that

$$X_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, X_{k+3}, X_{k+4}].$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that k is odd. Now using Lemma 2.4, we compute the element $X_{k+1}^{d_1}X_{k+4}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{k+1}^{d_1}X_{k+4} &= X_{k+1}^{d_1}\widehat{P_1(X_{k+3})}X_{k+2}^{-1}\\ &= (X_{k+1}^{d_1}\widehat{P_1(X_{k+3})} - q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}P_1(X_{k+1}))X_{k+2}^{-1} + q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}P_1(X_{k+1})X_{k+2}^{-1}\\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{d_1} X_{k+1}^{d_1}q^{-\frac{i}{2}}h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k+3}^i - q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}\sum_{i=0}^{d_1} q^{\frac{d_1-i}{2}}h_{d_1-i}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k+1}^{d_1-i})X_{k+2}^{-1} + q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}X_k\\ &= [\sum_{i=0}^{d_1} q^{-\frac{i}{2}}h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}})X_{k+1}^{d_1-i}(X_{k+1}^iX_{k+3}^i - 1)]X_{k+2}^{-1} + q^{-\frac{d_1}{2}}X_k.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_{k+1}X_{k+3} = P_2(X_{k+2})$ which has the constant term 1, so by Lemma 2.3, we can deduce that $X_{k+1}^iX_{k+3}^i - 1$ belongs to $X_{k+2}\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{k+2}]$ for any $0 \le i \le d_1$. Thus we have $X_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, X_{k+3}, X_{k+4}]$.

By induction, we can deduce that $X_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}, X_{m+3}, X_{m+4}]$ for any $m \geq k$. Similarly, we have $X_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-4}, X_{m-3}, X_{m-2}, X_{m-1}]$ for any $k \geq m$. It follows that $X_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}]$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that X_{m-1} and X_{m+2} belong to $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m^{\pm 1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm 1}]$, hence the proof is finished. □

Let $X \to \overline{X}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}-$linear bar-involution of the based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$ (see [2]) satisfying that

$$\overline{q^{\frac{r}{2}} X(a_1, a_2)} = q^{-\frac{r}{2}} X(a_1, a_2) \quad (r, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the notation $X(a_1, a_2) = q^{\frac{-a_1 a_2}{2}} X^{a_1} X^{a_2}$.

In order to prove the bar-invariance of quantum generalized cluster variables, we need more conditions on coefficients in $P(x)$ as stated in the next result.

Proposition 2.6. *If the coefficients in $P(x)$ satisfies $\overline{h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}})} = h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, then all quantum generalized cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$ are invariant under the bar-involution.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and the condition $h_i(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = h_i(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have

$$X_{k+1} X_{k-1} = \begin{cases} \overline{P_1(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \overline{P_2(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Using the bar-involution to the exchange relation, and noting the quantum Laurent phenomenon established in Theorem 2.5, we can deduce

$$\overline{X_{k+1}}\ \overline{X_{k-1}} = \begin{cases} \overline{P_1(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \overline{P_2(X_k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence the proof can be completed by induction on k. □

As a direct corollary, we obtain

Corollary 2.7. *The quantum generalized cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$ is invariant under the bar-involution.*

According to the proof of Theorem 2.5, we see that

$$\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}]$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is an analogue of the fact that the classical cluster algebra of rank two is equal to its lower bound. The following definition is an analogue of Definition 1.15 in [1].

Definition 2.8. A standard monomial in the quantum generalized cluster variables X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3 is an element of the form $X_1^{a_1} X_2^{a_2} X_3^{a_1'} X_0^{a_2'}$, where all exponents are nonnegative integers with $a_1 a_1' = 0$ and $a_2 a_2' = 0$.

By the exchange relations between the elements X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3 and the equation $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$, we can easily deduce the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *The set of all standard monomials in the quantum generalized cluster variables X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3 is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]-$basis of $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$.*

Remark 2.10. The $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]-$basis consists of all standard monomials is not invariant under the bar-involution. How to construct a bar-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]-$basis of $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$ deserves a further study.

We denote by $\mathcal{U}(P_1, P_2) \subset \mathcal{F}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]-$subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ given by

$$\mathcal{U}(P_1, P_2) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_1^{\pm1}, X_2^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_2^{\pm1}, X_3^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_0^{\pm1}, X_1^{\pm1}].$$

Then we have a stronger version of the quantum Laurent phenomenon in the following result.

Theorem 2.11. $\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2) = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_k^{\pm1}, X_{k+1}^{\pm1}] = \mathcal{U}(P_1, P_2)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, we see that

$$\mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2) \subseteq \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_k^{\pm1}, X_{k+1}^{\pm1}] \subseteq \mathcal{U}(P_1, P_2).$$

So we only need to prove that $\mathcal{U}(P_1, P_2) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2)$. In fact, one can prove a stronger result as follows

$$\bigcap_{k=m-1}^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_k^{\pm1}, X_{k+1}^{\pm1}] = \mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2).$$

This can be finished by showing the following four equations for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ which are similar to those in [2]. Here we omit the details.

(1) $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}^{\pm1}] = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}]$;

(2) $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}] = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}]$;

(3) $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}] = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}] + \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}]$;

(4) $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}] = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}]$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcap_{k=m-1}^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_k^{\pm1}, X_{k+1}^{\pm1}] &= \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}^{\pm1}, X_m^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}] \\
&\quad \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}^{\pm1}] \\
&= \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m^{\pm1}, X_{m+1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_m, X_{m+1}^{\pm1}, X_{m+2}] \\
&= \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}][X_{m-1}, X_m, X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}] \\
&= \mathcal{A}_q(P_1, P_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells,* Duke Math. J. 126 (2005), no. 1, 1–52.

[2] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Quantum cluster algebras,* Adv. Math. **195** (2005), 405–455.

[3] L. Chekhov and M. Shapiro, *Teichmuller spaces of Riemann surfaces with orbifold points of arbitrary order and cluster variables,* Int. Math. Res. Notices 2014 (2014), 2746–2772.

[4] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529.

[5] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 1, 63–121.

[6] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm,* Annales Sci. de l'Ecole Norm. Sup. 42 (2009), 865–930.

[7] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties,* Invent. Math. 172 (2009), 223–286;

[8] T. Nakanishi, *Quantum generalized cluster algebras and quantum dilogarithms of higher degrees,* Theor. Math. Phys. 185 (2015), 1759–1768.

[9] T. Nakanishi, *Structure of seeds in generalized cluster algebras,* Pacific J. Math. 277 (2015), 201–218.

[10] D. Rupel, *Greedy bases in rank 2 generalized cluster algebras,* 2013, arXiv:1309.2567[math.RA].

[11] A. Usnich, *Non-commutative Laurent phenomenon for two variables,* 2010, arXiv:1006.1211[math.AG].

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, 800 W.Main Street, Whitewater,WI.53190.USA

E-mail address: chenx@uww.edu (X.Chen)

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, P.R.China

E-mail address: m-ding04@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (M.Ding)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China

E-mail address: fanxu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (F.Xu)